

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/135,413 08/14/98 FORBES 303.354US2 L **EXAMINER** Г MM22/1213 SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH NGUYEN, V PO BOX 2938 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBÉR MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402 2818 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

12/13/99

	Application No	D. Applica	nt(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/13	5,4/3	torkes	et al
e moortonen Gunniary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
		Guyes		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover	sheet beneath	the correspondence a	nddress
Period for Reply	• •		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET		5		
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IO EXPIRE	MON	TH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	reply within the statut	tory minimum of thirt	y (30) days will be consider	red timely.
Status		. 0.		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	endmen	t 1100	d m / 10/	10/99
☐ This action is FINAL.			19,	(0/ 1 ./
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	t for formal matte 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 (rs, prosecution a D.G. 213.	as to the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				•
Claim(s) 19-21, 28-38,	43-6	3 is	/are pending in the app	diaction
Of the above claim(s)	•			
□ Claim(s)		•	/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.
Claim(s) 19-21, 28-38,	13-67	ISA >	/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is	/are rejected.	
			are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			e subject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		. 10	quirement.	
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-9	48.	•	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □app	roved 🗆 disapp	roved.	**,
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Exa	miner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	·			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 II S.C. & 1	11.9(5).(4)		4
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority docum	nents have been		رة المراجعة
☐ received.	•			, ,
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)			
\Box received in this national stage application from the Inte	emational Bureau	(PCT Rule 1 7.2)	(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:			· _	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)	∏Interview S	ummary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			formal Patent Applicati	on DTO 450
The state of the s		- 170 (100 OI III	nonnar Faterit AppliCati	un, P1U-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	R .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. /3

Serial Number: 09/135,413

Art Unit: 2818

The amendment filed on 10/18/99 has been entered and made of record.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-21, 43-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugita et al (Tp. 08-255878) in view of Sakata et al (Electronic letters, 28th, 4/94).

Sugita et al has been mentioned in the last office actin for teaching a SiC insulating film disposed between the floating gate and the substrate. It is noted that Sugita is deficient with respect to the claimed "amorphous silicon carbide (a-SiC)" material used as such gate insulator. However, Sakata (see Fig. 1) suggests such material use in a similar memory device (that is, "gate-to-substrate tunnelling") structure and/or similar effects as that of Sugita et al 's device, which would have been obviously suggested to one skilled in this art to employ a-Sic as an alternative material for the shown insulator layer of Sugita et al and/or further design choice variations. See the entire teachings.

Serial Number: 09/135,413

Art Unit: 2818

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Viet Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-4897.

Tiet Nzuegen

W

Nguyen/dc December 10, 1999 Viet Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner